CI-CCI: A New Model for Shared Print Collections Develops in Iowa

Cyd Dyer, College Librarian/Archivist, Simpson College Teri Koch, Head, Collection Development, Drake University Pam Rees, Library Director, Grand View University



May 2, 2014

Session Agenda

- History and Background of the project
- Guiding principles and philosophy
- MOU and Governance
- Scenario development and retention list project
- The Simpson College experience
- Future Steps
- Issues and Considerations

PRINT ARCHIVES PAPR PRESERVATION REGISTRY Directory Archived Titles Programs | Facilities | Archiving Institutions ab Download programs data ASERL Collaborative Federal Depositories Program (ASER ASERL Cooperative Journal Retention Program (ASERL) Boston Library Consortium (BLC) Central Iowa Collaborative Collections (CI-CCI) CIC Government Documents Project (CIC CLI) CIC Shared Print Repository (CIC SPR) CLOCKSS (CLOCKSS) Consortium of Academic and Research Libraries in Illinois L Core Historical Literature of Agriculture (CHLA) CRL JSTOR Print Archive Project (CRLJSTOR) Eive Colleges Library Depository Descrom (ECLD)



Home > Library Tools > Michigan Shared Print Initiative (MI-SPI) >

Michigan Shared Print Initiative (MI-SPI)

Overview

In 2011, the libraries at Michigan's publicly-supported universities sought to devise a collections among themselves. As facilitator and fiscal agent, MCLS brought together identify titles that are commonly-held but little-used. Participating libraries used serv Collection Services (SCS) to identify such titles in their respective individual collection.

History and Background

- **Guiding principles:**
 - •Decisions be data driven
 - •Guarantee 24 hour delivery of materials
 - •Coordinate acquisitions to eliminate all but the most critical duplications AND Maximize local budgets
 - •Commitment by senior **administration**

Second Steps:

- •Hired SCS for collection and usage analysis
- •Per library **set-up fee**, **plus.03 per bibliographic and 20%** Group Project Charge
- •MOU signed summer 2013 just 6 months into the project

- MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) Patterned after Michigan Shared Print Initiative (MI-SPI)
 - Elected to create two addenda to the MOU to deal with specific and unique issues related to ILL and acquisitions
 - Goals of the Collaboration
 - First, to responsibly reduce the size of local print collections by reducing duplication among the participating libraries so that library space may be freed up for other uses.
 - Second, to create and maintain a distributed, shared collection of these identified monograph titles to ensure that circulating copies of them are retained within the group, readily accessible to group participants as well as other libraries.
 - Third, to coordinate acquisitions with the goal of developing a "shared collection" among the participants to reduce duplication, leverage acquisition funds, and to reduce the frequency for the necessity to do data refresh.
 - Fourth, establish an environment where exploration and development of additional areas of collaboration can flourish (e.g., technology, etc.).

Other Elements

- -Ten year agreement
- -Governance
- -Provisions for release from the agreement and addition of new members
- -Outline of responsibilities for and ownership of the collections.

Acquisitions Addendum

-Acquisitions Taskforce -MARC 583 -Maximum of 2 holdings/title -Common vendor

ILL-Delivery Addendum

- ILL-Delivery Taskforce
- -24 hour delivery
- -common ILL practices i.e. 10 week loan period

Step 1: Data-Driven analysis of participant libraries' collections

Sustainable Collections Services analysis of CI-CCI bibliographic records and circulation history.

High level view of the group data



1,069,926 1,048,251 Unfiltered bib Filtered bib records records 526,526 Unique bib records



Uniqueness of CI-CCI Shared Collection (not very!)

	WorldCat Counts - US - Specific Edition	Title Holdings	%
2	Unique in the US	2,804	0%
4	2-4 Holdings in the US	7,327	1%
6	5-9 Holdings in US	10,822	1%
8	10-19 Holdings in US	19,452	2%
10	20+ Holdings In US	1,007,213	96%
12	50+ Holdings in US	953,539	91%
14	100+ Holdings in the US	875,579	84%
16	200+ Holdings in the US	728,019	69%

CI-CCI Overlap Based on SCS Matching

	Overlap within the 5 CI-CCI member libraries	Title Holdings	%
2	Unique in group	526,526	50%
3	Title-holdings in 2 libraries	280,360	27%
4	Titles-holdings in 3 libraries	154,351	15%
5	Titles-holdings in 4 libraries	68,681	7%
6	Titles-holdings in all 5 libraries	18,333	2%

Holdings and Usage Levels Compared



Scenarios (multiple factors): Calculating the opportunity

Numerous Scenarios Considered, involving:

- Recorded uses (circulation and other)
- Publication and/or acquisition date
- Holdings within the CI-CCI group
- Other holdings in Iowa (statewide, UNI, IPAL)
- Retention commitment within the group
- Equitable distribution of retention commitments and withdrawal opportunities

Sustainablecollections.com

Scenario Chosen by CI-CCI Group

Published before 1991

- Zero recorded uses since 2005
- At least 1 non-CI-CCI library in Iowa also holds an edition

Retain 1 title-holding within the group

Step 2: Develop Retention Lists

- Each school needs to verify that they have the items on their assigned retention list (INVENTORY)
- Round 3 Scenario 2 Published < 1991 Keep 1 title holding within CI-CCI Zero recorded uses since 2005 At least one non CI-CCI library in Iowa also holds the title (any edition)

This allocation method maintains a consistent withdrawal and retention ratio for all member libraries. Other allocation methods are possible, but no library can withdraw more than their number of Eligible Title Holdings.

Institution	Eligible Title Holdings	Allocated Withdrawals	Allocated Retentions		
Central	56,426	29,992	26,434		
Drake	97,149	51,637	45,512		
Grand View	31,906	16,959	14,947		
Grinnell	98,129	52,158	45,971		
Simpson	44,930	23,881	21,049		
Total	328,540	174,626	153,914		

- CI-CCI agreed to complete by Aug. 2014
- An interactive database was developed by Drake.





0 / 46754

ci-cci.org



The Simpson College Experience

- Opportunity: space & academic resources
- True collaboration: openness, input, planning
- Commitment: funding & staff
- Shared print: saving, loaning, withdrawing, adding

Future Considerations & Issues

- OCLC Shared Print Retention symbol
- Discovery (GACs and WorldCat Local)
- Process for Bringing in New Members

Decision to Register Retention Titles with OCLC

- CI-CCI has decided to record retention titles with OCLC
- Each school will get a second OCLC code. Drake's would be: IOD-sp
- ILL ramifications: would necessitate a second ILLiad satellite license for the second OCLC code. And, of course, workflow issues.
- Benefits: Ease of identification of retention titles, easy to add to this list as we acquire unique items.

Cooperative Collection Development: Considerations

- Workflow issues (e.g., Common vendor)
- Format (print books only, ebooks only, combination?)
- Patron Driven Acquisitions
- Subject Specialization by institution?
- Budgets

Issues

- Disparity in members' size and budgets
- When to re-fresh the data given cost ramifications
- Ensuring that all members adhere to the tenants of the MOU in both spirit and practice
- Method for determining group leadership roles
- At what point does the group need, and how to pay for a "Project Manager"

Discussion

QuestionsComments